



Results

Survey 68998

Number of records in this query:	221
Total records in survey:	221
Percentage of total:	100.00%





Field summary for 1


The food Standard code largely focuses on human health and safety issues. Are wider impacts of a products - on people, and animals and the environment - important to you?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	217	98.19%
No (N)	2	0.90%
No answer	2	0.90%



Field summary for 1

The food Standard code largely focuses on human health and safety issues. Are wider impacts of a products - on people, and animals and the environment - important to you?






Field summary for 3

Labels 'Made in Australia' and 'Product of Australia' refer to:

Answer	Count	Percentage
where the ingredients in a product are sourced from (3a)	8	3.65%
the manufacturing or assembly process (3b)	91	41.55%
both (3c)	115	52.51%
No answer	5	2.28%

Field summary for 3

Labels 'Made in Australia' and 'Product of Australia' refer to:





Field summary for 2


Do you think there is a need for more clarity on the meaning of the labels 'Made in Australia' and 'Product of Australia'?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	214	97.72%
No (N)	0	0.00%
No answer	5	2.28%



Field summary for 2

Do you think there is a need for more clarity on the meaning of the labels 'Made in Australia' and 'Product of Australia'?





Field summary for 4


Do you have any concerns about genetic engineered ingredients being in the food you eat?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	206	94.50%
No (N)	9	4.13%
No answer	3	1.38%



Field summary for 4

Do you have any concerns about genetic engineered ingredients being in the food you eat?





Field summary for 5


Presently labelling allows for food made from animals fed with GE feed (meat, milk, eggs, honey) and highly refined ingredients (cooking oils, sugars, starches) and foods unintentionally contaminated by up to 1% per ingredient, as exempt from labelling as GE. Is this adequate?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	9	4.13%
No (N)	197	90.37%
No answer	12	5.50%



Field summary for 5

Presently labelling allows for food made from animals fed with GE feed (meat, milk, eggs, honey) and highly refined ingredients (cooking oils, sugars, starches) and foods unintentionally contaminated by up to 1% per ingredient, as exempt from labelling as GE. Is this adequate?





Field summary for 6


In terms of knowing where fish has come from, and the fishing method involved, is present fish and seafood labelling adequate?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	9	4.13%
No (N)	195	89.45%
No answer	14	6.42%



Field summary for 6

In terms of knowing where fish has come from, and the fishing method involved, is present fish and seafood labelling adequate?





Field summary for 7


Presently Palm oil can be labelled as vegetable oil. Should palm oil be labelled on products?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	214	98.17%
No (N)	4	1.83%
No answer	0	0.00%



Field summary for 7

Presently Palm oil can be labelled as vegetable oil. Should palm oil be labelled on products?





Field summary for 8


There are often many companies associated with a product. Do you think that it is important that the companies connected with a product be listed on the label?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes - all companies (8a)	137	62.84%
Yes - just major companies (ie. parent, manufacturer) (8b)	75	34.40%
No (8c)	3	1.38%
No answer	3	1.38%



Field summary for 8

There are often many companies associated with a product. Do you think that it is important that the companies connected with a product be listed on the label?





Field summary for 9

Do you think there should be more clarity on the standards connected to voluntary labelling claims. (ie. free range eggs)?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Yes (Y)	215	98.62%
No (N)	2	0.92%
No answer	1	0.46%



Field summary for 9

Do you think there should be more clarity on the standards connected to voluntary labelling claims. (ie. free range eggs)?

